LAKIREDDY BALI REDDY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(AUTONOMOUS)

Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada & Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Accredited by NAAC and NBA Under Tier-I (ECE, EEE, CSE, IT & ME), Certified by ISO 9001:2015 L B Reddy Nagar, Mylavaram-521 230, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)

National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC)
Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS) – Key indicator 2.7.1
Under Criterion II of Teaching-Learning and Evaluation

Analysis of Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS)

Academic Year : 2018-19

Sanctioned Strength (First Year Intake): 1104

Actual Strength (All Years) : 3824

Participated in SSS : 3231

Percentage of Participation : 84.49%

Question wise Analysis

Ques	tions and Options	No. of Students Opted	Percentage of Students Opted
Q1	How much of the syllabus was	covered in the class?	
A	85 to 100%	2497	77.28
В	70 to 84%	618	19.13
С	55 to 69%	56	1.73
D	30 to 54 %	51	1.73
E	Below 30%	9	0.28
Q2	How well did the teacher prepa	re for the class?	
A	Thoroughly	1649	51.04
В	Satisfactorily	1479	45.77
С	Poorly	74	2.29
D	Indifferently	19	0.59
E	Won't teach at all	10	0.31

Q3	How well were the teachers able to	communicate?	Had the same
A	Always effective	1867	57.78
В	Sometimes effective	1101	34.08
С	Just satisfactorily	217	6.72
D	Generally ineffective	38	1.18
E	Very poor Communication	8	0.25
Q4	The teacher's approach to teaching	g can best be describe	ed as
A	Excellent	1626	50.32
В	Very good	1221	37.79
С	Good	276	8.54
D	Fair	97	3.00
E	Poor	11	0.34
Q5	Fairness of the internal evaluation	process by the teach	er.
A	Always Fair	1836	56.82
В	Usually fair	1154	35.72
С	Sometimes unfair	179	5.54
D	Usually unfair	46	1.42
E	Unfair	16	0.49
Q6	Was your performance in assignment	ents discussed with y	ou?
A	Every time	1847	57.16
В	Usually	1104	34.17
С	Occasionally/Sometimes	180	5.57
D	Rarely	87	2.69
E	Never	13	0.40
Q7	The institute takes active interest opportunities for students.	in promoting interns	ship, field visit
A	Regularly	1929	59.64
В	Often	904	27.98
С	Sometimes	227	7.02
D	Rarely	136	4.21
E	Never	35	1.08

Q8	The teaching and mentoring process in your in cognitive, social and emotional growth	our institution	facilitates you
A	Significantly	1643	50.85
В	Very well	1211	37.48
C	Moderately	305	9.44
D	Marginally	58	1.79
E	Not at all	14	0.43
Q9	The institution provides multiple opportu	nities to learn	and grow.
A	Strongly agree	1368	42.34
В	Agree	1279	39.58
С	Neutral	265	8.20
D	Disagree	61	1.89
E	Strongly disagree	8	0.25
Q10	Teachers inform you about your expoutcomes, programme outcomes and programme	ected compete gramme specifi	ncies, course coutcomes.
A	Every time	1826	56.51
В	Usually	1161	35.93
C	Occasionally/Sometimes	178	5.51
D	Rarely	59	1.83
E	Never	7	0.22
Q11	Tour mentor/class teacher/course installed follow-up with an assigned task to you.	structor does	a necessary
A	Every time	1796	55.59
В	Usually	1178	36.46
C	Occasionally/Sometimes	185	5.72
D	Rarely	61	1.89
E	Never	11	0.34
Q12	The teachers illustrate the concepts applications.	s through ex	xamples and
A	Every time	1829	56.61
В	Usually	1190	36.83
С	Occasionally/Sometimes	142	4.39
D	Rarely	63	1.95
E	Never	7	0.22

Q13	The teacher identify your strength and right level of chalenges.	encourage you	with providing
A	Fully	1765	54.63
В	Reasonably	1116	34.54
С	Partially	243	7.52
D	Slightly	94	2.91
E	Unable to	13	0.40
Q14	Teachers are able to identify your wovercome them.	veaknesses and	help you to
A	Every time	1738	53.79
В	Usually	1136	35.16
С	Occasionally/Sometimes	230	7.12
D	Rarely	109	3.37
E	Never	18	0.56
Q15	The istitution makes effort to engage review and continuous quality improve process.		
A	Strongly agree	1685	52.15
В	Agree	1311	40.57
С	Neutral	176	5.45
D	Disagree	53	1.64
E	Strongly disagree	6	0.18
	The institute / teachers use studen	t contrio moth	
Q16	experiential learning, participative learning experiential searning participative learning experience of the search of the searc	arning and pro	
Q16 A	experiential learning, participative learning	arning and pro	
	experiential learning, participative learning experiential learning, participative learning experiential learning experiential learning, participative learnin	arning and pro operience.	oblem solving
A	experiential learning, participative learning exmethodologies for enhancing learning extra a great extent	arning and proxperience.	oblem solving 54.50
A B	experiential learning, participative learning exmethodologies for enhancing learning exmediate. To a great extent Moderate	arning and prosperience. 1761 1223	54.50 37.85

Q17	Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.		
A	Strongly agree	1733	53.64
В	Agree	1213	37.54
С	Neutral	213	6.59
D	Disagree	62	1.92
E	Strongly disagree	10	0.31
Q18	Efforts are made by institute / teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.		
A	To a great extent	1775	54.94
В	Moderate	1254	38.81
С	Some what	132	4.08
D	Very little	63	1.95
E	Not at all	7	0.22
Q19	What percentage of teachers umultimedia, etc while teaching.		CD projector,
A	Above 90%	1781	55.12
В	70 to 89%	1191	36.86
C	50 to 69%	157	4.86
D	30 to 49%	94	2.91
E	Below 29%	8	0.25
Q20	The overall quality of teaching very good.	-learning process in yo	ur institute is
A	Strongly agree	1685	52.15
В	Agree	1330	41.16
С	Neutral	162	5.01
D	Disagree	47	1.45
E	Strongly disagree	7	0.22

The Oveall Result Analysis of Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS)			
A	4 – Excellent	35886	55.53
В	3 – Very Good	23374	36.17
С	2 – Good	3777	5.84
D	1 – Satisfactory	1360	2.10
E	0 – Poor	223	0.34

$$GPA = \frac{4 \times 35886 + 3 \times 23374 + 2 \times 3777 + 1 \times 1360 + 0 \times 223}{35886 + 23374 + 3777 + 1360 + 223} = \frac{222580}{64620} = 3.44$$

Prof.B.Ramesh Reddy Coordinator-IQAC Dr. R. Chandra Sekharam Director-IQAC

R. Cul C

Dr. K. Appa Rao Chairman-IQAC